

**FORMAL COMPLAINT TO HOUSE OF LORDS COMMISSIONER FOR
STANDARDS & PARLIAMENTARY COMMISSIONER FOR STANDARDS
METROPOLITAN POLICE**

Complainant: Paul Buckingham

FOR THE IMMEDIATE ATTENTION OF:

Office of the House of Lords Commissioners for Standards
Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Standards
Metropolitan Police

CC:

Leader of Restore Britain, Rupert Lowe MP
Leader of Reform, Nigel Farage
Leader of Labour, Andy Burnham
Leader of Conservatives, Kemi Badenoch
Leader of Liberal Democrats, Ed Davey
Lord Frost

RE; Complaint about Baroness Bennett of Manor Castle, the wider Green Party and their domestic and international co-conspirators, for abusing the House through introduction of the **Nature's Rights Bill** and for committing the crimes of attempted sedition and foreign interference in violation of the National Security Act 2023.

COMPLAINT SUMMARY

Due to the members of the House of Lords failing to immediately and outright reject the Nature's Rights Bill, allowing it to complete 2nd Reading and progress to Committee Stage, it is apparent that the House cannot be relied upon to take the actions required in dismissing the intentions of this Bill, which seeks to bastardise our nations legal and democratic system, and form de-facto dictatorial status through sedition.

The charges I make in this complaint, are specific to 'Bennett', and co-conspirators in relation to the House of Lords, for introducing this abomination, but they also require additional investigation by Parliament into the wider Green Party and all those associated with this attempted sedition, as well as the Metropolitan Police, on account of advice from the Office of the House of Lords Commissioners for Standards that this is also a criminal matter. Hence all persons involved with the 'Nature's Rights Charity' (Scotland: No. SCO45540), as well as the intended Parliamentary Sponsor, Adrian Ramsay MP, should be investigated and held to account up to and including criminal charges, along with, but not limited to, other persons to be named within this complaint.

The charges I make in this complaint pertain to violations of the Code of Conduct for Members of the House of Lords, and more seriously, offences against the Democratic System of Government (seeking to sit above the House of Commons), violation of the National Security Act 2023 and also crimes against humanity.

SPECIFIC CRIME

Via the introduction, and continued readings, of the 'Nature's Rights Bill [HL]' (<https://bills.parliament.uk/publications/66477/documents/8295>), 'Bennett' (and her domestic and international cohorts) have explicitly stated an intent to destroy the nation democratic system of the UK by way of:

Clause 4. 'Integrated Rights Framework', section (2) wherein they place 'Nature' above human rights, whereby these 'rights' will conveniently be controlled by these criminals, as per section (3) and the three stipulated dictators of the National Guardianship Council, Bioregional Councils and Nature's Rights Tribunals. For clarity, these posts will not be filled by 'Nature', as nature has no voice, hence they will be delusional ideologues, or more accurately criminals intending to dictate to, and profit from, the sovereign people of the UK, whose sovereignty they seek to usurp.

They additionally intend to dictate our national economy, as per Clause 10. 'Economic and financial system alignment', whilst enriching themselves at the taxpayer expense as per Clause 13. 'Incentives and regenerative transition support', specifically section (2).

Abusing the method of 'Private Members Bill' is directly seeking to usurp the rights of the sovereign people, avoiding public scrutiny and democratic process.

Further explanation can be provided in the event that the stated intended crimes and whole document evidence is not abundantly clear in itself to any honest, logical thinking person.

The following evidence of international cohorts (foreign interference) additionally raises violations of the National Security Act 2023 (<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2023/32/part/1/crossheading/foreign-interference>).

COHORTS & CODE OF CONDUCT VIOLATIONS

The stated register of interests of 'Bennett' (<https://members.parliament.uk/member/4719/registeredinterests>) can be called into question on reasonable grounds due to the stated intent to control the nations finances and gain access to funds, whereby 'Bennett' has failed to disclose the cohorts behind this attempted sedition, and hence violation of the Code of Conduct Section 3. Rules 14, 16 and very much 17 and 18.

Her connection to King's College London may prove relevant due to their 'Rights of Nature Toolkit' (<https://www.kcl.ac.uk/legal-clinic/assets/rights-of-nature-toolkit.pdf>),

This 'Bill' comes directly from the organisation called 'Nature's Rights' (SC045540 <https://www.oscr.org.uk/about-charities/search-the-register/charity-details?number=SC045540#incomeAndExpenditure>), which is currently overdue in its reporting, and with a highly dubious record of low income and expenditure considering the international collaboration listed on their website (<https://www.natures-rights.org/>), which includes the Green Party and the Environment Agency, among many others. Of particular note are the number of cohorts external to UK borders, hence violations of the National Security Act 2023. 'Bennett' is listed directly on this website, with no such disclosure within her Register of Interests, as is 'Baroness Jenny Jones'. Additional members of the House can be identified through association, such as the perennially greasy 'Lord Deben' hereafter referred to as 'Gummer', whose attentions over consistent conflicts of interest are dubiously overlooked by the Commission with alarming regularity, giving cause for concern about the ability of the Commission to prosecute the 'blindingly obvious'.

In this instance 'Gummer' has his sticky paws involved once again due to the support of this 'Bill' via Rupert Read (also a Green Party donor to values that question its source, i.e. £50,011.69 from a failed academic who likes to scare the life out of children and thinks Greta Thunberg is the greatest person on the planet, which requires a special kind of stupid: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Lt0jCDtYSY&t=158s>). These two fanatics are joined by a further rat in the house, John Randall as per their mutual activities in the 'Climate Majority Project' (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rupert_Read), where once again

they demonstrate their tendency toward tentacles of funding and activist cells via the network stipulated on that particular site (<https://www.climatemajorityproject.com/about>).

In the event that the Commission and the Metropolitan Police have a tendency toward convenient memory failings, when I reference these people in a manner suited toward terrorists, Rupert Read and those associated with him were in fact considered a 'key threat' by the 'Counter Terrorist Police' (<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/jan/19/extinction-rebellion-listed-as-key-threat-by-counter-terror-police>) in 2019 due to their membership and organisation of Extinction Rebellion, and calls were made for this international group of terrorists to be named as such in Europe as well (<https://europeanconservative.com/articles/news-corner/dutch-mp-demands-terrorist-label-for-extinction-rebellion/>). These are all the same people, same networks, and they are using this 'Bill' to hold high office above Parliament and the sovereign people of the UK.

Mention of Europe is notable due to their stipulated relationship with the European Economic and Social Committee, including claims of study conducted, which will require investigation into undeclared foreign funding.

This then goes further toward wider Green Party interests and donor links (<https://donation.watch/en/unitedkingdom/party/GPEW/donors>), where you will find the unusual donation of Rupert Read.

This whole ideology is an international network that is invading nations around the world via similar methods, acting in unison, whilst acting as individual sleeper cells, which demands a comprehensive and thorough criminal investigation, as a clear and present danger, in much the same way as any terrorist organisation, based on their stated intent.

As examples, 'Lawyers for Nature' (<https://www.lawyersfornature.com/news/rights-of-nature-in-the-uk-from-vision-to-viability>), who seek a strategic evolution' through abuse of law, whereby their intended entrance to sedition is via the 'Environment Act 2021, the Climate Change Act 2008, and common law doctrines of nuisance and public trust', whereby the 'Climate Change Act 2008' is of specific interest on account of this too having been implemented under fraudulent premises, and demonstrating the very real risks to the sovereign people of the UK on account of harms, including deaths, that have occurred as a direct result. This too should form part of any competent criminal investigation, due to its foundation of falsehood enabling these self described 'activists' to abuse our systems for their criminal cult intentions. Take note of their 'Friends' as evidence of the network. The Climate Change Act 2008 also gave rise to the Climate Change Committee which enabled 'Gummer' to siphon off taxpayer money to his fellow terrorists.

My own work in the area of forensic audit and due diligence over the years has enabled me to do the work that both Parliament and the House of Lords has failed to do, and ascertained the evidence of the fraud of the Climate Change Act 2008, due to completely false statements made to the Commons by Tony Blair, David Miliband and Hilary Benn. This includes having asked all of the proselytes of this terror group, up to and including the IPCC for evidence of the scientific method burden of proof for their claims, of which there is none, and is precisely why this 'Nature's Rights Bill' falls back on 'poor mans science' (i.e. pseudoscience intentional falsehood) via the 'Precautionary Principle' in Clause 34, whereby the only true risk is the lives being lost and economies destroyed by this terrorist cult.

Prime examples of lives being lost within the UK are those dying each and every year at increasing levels through energy poverty, for which blame lays entirely at the feet of this false narrative. This is also a matter as to why the Parliamentary Commissioner for Standards must awake from its stupor, on account of useful idiots in Parliament

continuing to dig this terrorist plot into our legislative bodies, whether through total incompetent ignorance or perhaps complicit malice.

When investigations long overdue are conducted, additional evidence can be provided, not only via myself, but via numerous resources that give lie to this terrorist cult delusion of 'settled science' or 'consensus', and other bastardisation of language and actual competent science, in order to push their deranged and murderous ideology.

An example of warning about this attempted sedition, I am not the only person, nor is the UK the only target for these terrorists, as per this warning dating back to 2018 from the US: <https://www.acton.org/religion-liberty/volume-28-number-3/return-nature-worship>.

As additional example of how these terrorists are acting through separate means, I also raise the relationship between the Miliband brothers, whereby David lied to instil the fraudulent Climate Change Act 2008, and now Ed has been conveniently granted role to enact this multi-foreign linked organisations strategies as recommended by his long time fellow cultist, Polly Billington: <https://neweconomics.org/2026/07/energy-security-in-an-age-of-conflict>. Having a UK led initiative to interfere with other nations is also a threat to our sovereignty, and the list of funders behind this are notorious for their terrorist activism.

NOLAN PRINCIPLE VIOLATIONS

Despite both of the Commissioner offices relegating the Nolan Principles to an insignificance, I suggest the leaders of the Parties included in this Formal Complaint give strong consideration toward these principles, and how you act within your parties.

The reason why 'Bennett' feels so emboldened as to attempt these criminal acts is because both houses have failed to understand their roles as 'Representatives' instead of their current deluded belief they are somehow 'Masters'. Much of the problem is because none of you will ensure the Nolan Principles apply at all stages, so that you can each tell bold faced lies to the sovereign people, and feel entitled to do so under no threat of repercussion. It has got so bad that you want to hide your criminal records (<https://www.gbnews.com/politics/labour-ministers-criminal-records-secret-british-public>), as well as having a completely 2-tier justice system for your own.

YOU are all responsible for the degradation of the social contract and total lack of trust between the sovereign people and the system of Government, the 'SERVANTS' (I include all public servants in this segment of complaint).

This then results in useful idiots that will fall in line and support lunatic seditionists like 'Bennett' and her international cabal of terrorists.

Your failures to prove trustworthy, and your failures in both houses to perform any competent level of due diligence, as well as filling the Lords with rejects, criminals and morons, are precisely why the Labour and Conservative inspired fraud of the 'Climate Change Act 2008' and 'Net Zero' has opened the door to terrorists. YOU are to blame for this, and your determination to relegate the Nolan Principles to enable this fraud and continued daily lies, is where complete responsibility lays.

You could do something about this, but you don't, as it would prevent you from yet more lies and violations against the sovereign people. You won't, because you let filth like 'Grummer' lie, cheat, steal and join terrorists, and claim he has done nothing wrong repeatedly, whilst you will increase attacks on the sovereign people for 'mean words'.

These are the actions of terrorists, which make you all culpable.

So, the precise violations of the Nolan Principles that should not be ignored by either Commissioner office include:

VIOLATION 1:

(a) Selflessness: holders of public office should act solely in terms of the public interest.

This 'Bill' is entirely selfish toward the 'Green Cult' and its Theosophist worship of Nature, hence giving no consideration to obligations of the sovereign people. 'Bennett' and the supporters of this 'Bill' violate this principle.

VIOLATION 2:

(b) Integrity: holders of public office must avoid placing themselves under any obligation to people or organisations that might try inappropriately to influence them in their work. They should not act or take decisions in order to gain financial or other material benefits for themselves, their family, or their friends. They must declare and resolve any interests and relationships.

As stated in description of this international criminal network, the sponsor of this 'Bill' ('Bennett') has presented this to our House under the influence of the 'Nature's Rights Charity', headed by Mumta Ito and the various acolytes that can easily be identified through their website (<https://www.natures-rights.org/uk-bill>), who by default are seeking to gain power over the sovereign people of this nation, and dominion of these lands due to specific statement of intent such as 'No Crown body, public authority or United Kingdom entity is exempt from the rights and duties established under this Act solely on the grounds of national security, public interest, administrative convenience or economic hardship, except as expressly provided by or under this Act.'

Take note that these terrorists consider themselves exempt from the National Security Act 2023 because they know full well they are terrorists.

They will furthermore seek financial benefit due to their intentions to award themselves with grants and loans at the taxpayer expense, as per Part 3. Section 13 'Incentives and regenerative transition support'.

VIOLATION 3:

(c) Objectivity: holders of public office must act and take decisions impartially, fairly and on merit, using the best evidence and without discrimination or bias.

There is zero impartiality, and only total bias toward this 'Green Cult' ideology, which holds not a single consideration toward merit of any description, nor any scientific credibility.

VIOLATION 4:

(d) Accountability: holders of public office are accountable to the public for their decisions and actions and must submit themselves to the scrutiny necessary to ensure this.

This 'Bill' seeks to completely remove all accountability from this 'Green Cult', placing all decision in the hands of three new dictatorial bodies, namely the Nature Guardianship Council, Bioregional Councils and the Nature's Rights Tribunal, who are conveniently then acting on behalf of 'Nature', for which they subsequently and conveniently render themselves immune, as per Part 5. Section 37 'Immunity of Nature and Nature Designated Entities'.

Such actions then give free reign for their fellow 'Green Cult' acolytes, who they conveniently fail to name, despite the website indicating numerous organisations (including foreign entities), including known terrorists like Rupert Read, to avoid any route to recourse to the sovereign people.

VIOLATION 5:

(e) Openness: holders of public office should act and take decisions in an open and transparent manner. Information should not be withheld from the public unless there are clear and lawful reasons for so doing.

It is only due to my own due diligence that I came to learn anything about this 'Bill', as I have become accustomed to this method from ideologues to 'hide in plain sight', and avoid the scrutiny of the sovereign people. In such instance as this attempt to steal sovereignty from the people, the violation here is based on the House itself and its complete lack of warning to the wider sovereign population.

It is also obvious just from the information provided within this formal complaint that this group of international terrorists are intentionally spread amongst numerous tentacles, copying the strategies of all terrorist groups.

VIOLATION 6:

(f) Honesty: holders of public office should be truthful.

Aside from this whole 'Green Cult' being based entirely on lies, I will specifically refer to Part 5. Section 34 'Precautionary principle and burden of proof', which stipulates 'The precautionary principle applies to the interpretation and application of this Act', on account of this cult being completely and utterly devoid of any actual burden of proof, and the 'scientific method'.

This 'poor man's science' and 'Law of Fear (Sunstein 2005) is entirely based on pre-determined outcomes to promulgate falsehoods and manipulation of the academically illiterate. It is so void of burden of proof that it demands lowering public intellect in order to meet the truly evil intentions of this cult fantasy.

In the event of additional requirement, I maintain numerous FoI responses from the useful idiots of this fake science, whereby not a single one can answer questions of scientific method burden of proof, nor in fact do they even understand the questions relating to 'precautionary principle', due to their total incompetence in any academic field. Here I will be blunt, these people are morons.

VIOLATION 7:

(g) Leadership: holders of public office should exhibit these principles in their own behaviour and treat others with respect.

'Bennett', and her band of 'Green Cult' morons, may well be leading, in terms of the 'blind leading the blind', but they are wholly void of meeting the obligation within this principle due to their intentions of treating the sovereign people of this nation with utter contempt.

CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS**CRIMINAL VIOLATION 1: VIOLATING THE DEMOCRATIC SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT**

Otherwise known as 'Sedition'.

This 'Bill' seeks the dissolution of the democratic regime and poses a direct and immediate violation of the fundamental rights of the sovereign people of this United Kingdom.

As per Part 5. Section 26 'Parliamentary Oversight' this 'Bill' seeks to hold primacy over Government, most especially due to Part 2. Section 2. Granting 'Recognition of Nature as

a legal subject', and hence handcuffing any decision external to this 'Green Cult' demands.

CRIMINAL VIOLATION 2: VIOLATING THE NATIONAL SECURITY ACT 2023

The established foreign links and international terrorist network behind this 'Bill' violate the following:

14. Foreign interference: meaning of “interference effect” – all clauses and sub clauses are violated, once again due to Part 5. Section 26 'Parliamentary Oversight' this 'Bill' seeks to hold primacy over Government, most especially due to Part 2. Section 2. Granting 'Recognition of Nature as a legal subject', whereby the terrorists that will make up the false voice of 'Nature' via the National Guardianship Council, Bioregional Councils and Nature's Rights Tribunals, under the influence of their international terrorist network.

In addition:

15. Foreign interference: meaning of “prohibited conduct” – specifically clauses (2) (d) causing or threatening to cause financial loss to a person, by way of this 'Bill' Clause 13. 'Incentives and regenerative transition support', specifically section (2) where they will seek financial benefit from the taxpayers and hence causing a loss to said taxpayers.

(4) A “misrepresentation” is a representation – both (a) and (b), as well as (5) A misrepresentation may be made by making a statement or by any other kind of conduct, and may be express or implied, and (6) A misrepresentation may in particular include, both a) and b).

These 'misrepresentations' are multiple throughout this 'Bill', but we can highlight Clause 4. Integrated Rights Framework section 2) sub sections (a-c) :

- (a) first, the rights of Nature are recognised as the foundation of human life, society and economic activity;
- (b) second, human rights are to be protected and fulfilled within the ecological conditions necessary for life, and
- (c) third, economic, corporate and property rights are to be exercised within, and in a manner that supports rather than undermines, the rights of Nature and human rights.

By default these terrorists will place whatever they deem to be 'Nature' above all Human Rights, including holding command and control over economic activity. Claiming these rights will be maintained is pure fiction.

Also:

16. Foreign interference in elections – whereby once again, this 'Bill' Clause 6. Interpretation of rights, duties and conflicts, section (7) reads 'No Crown body, public authority or United Kingdom entity is exempt from the rights and duties established under this Act solely on the grounds of national security, public interest, administrative convenience or economic hardship, except as expressly provided by or under this Act', and hence these terrorists and their foreign cohorts will render elections completely meaningless, as they will be the nations dictators.

All of the above and clear and obvious crimes, whereby any mental gymnastic abuse of language will merely be attempted to squirm away from blatant statement of intent that is unequivocal.

CRIME VIOLATION 3: CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

As per Part 2. Section 4 'Integrated Rights Framework', sub-section (2), we can clearly identify that this international cohort of terrorists intends to place 'Nature Rights' above 'Human Rights', where they conveniently seek to embody themselves with the 'divine right' to speak on behalf of Nature via its useful idiot cult membership as per Part 4. Section 16 '*Composition and governance of the Nature Guardianship Council*', and hence endowing themselves with the powers to persecute sovereign citizens with whatever lunacy they determine to be a 'crime against Nature'.

This is laid out in their entire 'Part 5' DISPUTE RESOLUTION, TRIBUNAL AND ENFORCEMENT including a rather convenient Clause 36 Access to justice and funding, section (2) where they want to take the relegated humans money to fund prosecuting them for whatever made up nonsense they dictate, under the guise of speaking for the new deity of 'Nature'.

These violations are multiple and relate to both the ECHR (https://www.echr.coe.int/documents/d/echr/Convention_ENG), and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (<https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>). If these are required to be specified line by line, I will do so.

REMEDY

These multiple violations are so severe that the highest possible actions are required on several levels.

HOUSE OF LORDS

It begins with the immediate prosecution of 'Bennett' for Gross Misconduct in Public Office, and expulsion from our House and prevention of association with any public office in the nation, as well as stripping of any and all title. I would expect the investigation stage to expand into all other supporters of this act of Sedition, such as 'Jones' and 'Gummer'.

Criminal prosecution would not be uncalled for, including imprisonment.

I expect this complaint to be dealt with accordingly, as we clearly cannot count on the members of the House to act accordingly.

PARLIAMENT

The investigation must then expand into the wider international cohort and their accomplices in Parliament, who are actively behind this crime against the sovereign people, and hence the entire Green Party for one, paying particular attention to Adrian Ramsay MP (reason for inclusion of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Standards), and all persons and bodies related to the Natures Rights Charity, as per the website previously stated. Please note the Environment Agency of the UK amongst their list of foreign and domestic collaborators.

The full extent of the law must be sought against these criminals that seek to steal domain of our nation, and relegate its citizenry to second class status.

POLITICAL PARTIES

You are charged with purging your parties of these criminals, whether in either House or in any role of your respective parties.

You are further charged with repealing or nullifying legislation that sits on the books that were created under falsehoods, i.e. Climate Change Act, Net Zero and any downstream legislation that acts as a foothold for these criminals. These charges must also progress to criminal prosecutions due to the fraud against the sovereign people that has resulted, as well as taking very specific care to prosecute for the manslaughter committed as a result such as deaths due to energy poverty. I can suggest you are easily able to determine the vast number of deaths, as these criminals love to promote how many they kill, with example being the 'Marie Curie Dying in Poverty' report (<https://www.mariecurie.org.uk/document/dying-in-poverty-report-2025>).

I can further add that whilst I will not complain about limitations from the Climate Change Act 2008, the initiation of these terrorist activities can be linked back to the signing of Agenda 21 at the Rio Summit in 1992, whereby an accumulation of direct result deaths around the world are now standing at nearly 130 million, rising each year.

On account of your parties, especially Conservative and Labour, bad faith actions since 1992, it is clear you cannot be trusted by the sovereign people to conduct yourselves with any competency, and most especially with any honesty. As such, you are charged with making the Nolan Principles enforceable, including instruction to both House Commissioners to do so, rather than their current dismissal of these critical standards.

Any sovereign person in the UK is subject to similar standards within their employment, under threat of dismissal or prosecution, and for political persons to believe they are above these standards is an admission that you most definitely are not.

The nation is sick and tired of your collective lies.

METROPOLITAN POLICE

You are charged with prosecuting the stipulated criminal offences, and conducting wider investigation to prosecute those persons within any national boundary limits. Foreign based terrorists may be at least banned from any entrance or operation within our sovereign territory, including those activists in the European Union, such as the members of the European Economic and Social Committee.

Both myself and the wider sovereign public of the UK are very much aware of your continual failures to adhere to the Principles of Policing, and your complicity with wider ideologies that nobody beyond these terrorist networks agree with, consent to, or have ever voted for, so you will be judged on your determination to prosecute accordingly, or whether you will once again side with those who seek to dominate, under the delusion that somehow you will be spared their lunatic intentions.

The accusations, evidence in black and white, and specific crimes are clear, so any failure to proceed must be taken by those political representatives of the sovereign people as a complicity with these terrorists.

GB NEWS

You are charged with informing the public of this complaint and the intentions of these terrorist networks to control our nation. I do not personally seek to be named or appear in public in this regard, as this is not about me, nor do I want to make it about me. You can determine these facts easily and report these facts that this terrorist organisation wish to 'hide in plain sight'.

Perhaps the wider sovereign public might want to join the complaint to force these indolent 'public servants' to finally do their job for once.